	[4]	
1	Daniel T. Hayward, Esq.	
2	Nevada State Bar No. 5986 LAXALT & NOMURA, LTD.	
3	9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521	
4	dhayward@laxalt-nomura.com Telephone: (775) 322-1170	
5	Facsimile: (775) 322-1865	
6	Attorneys for Defendants COMPASS PARTNERS, LLC,	
7	COMPASS USA SPE, LLC, BORIS PISKUN, AND DAVID BLATT	
8		
9	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
10	DISTRICT OF	NEVADA
11	IN RE:	Case No. 2:07-CV-892-RCJ-GWF-BASE
12	USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,	Consolidated with Case Nos.
13	Debtor.	2:07-cv-1389-RCJ-GWF
14		3:07-cv-00241-RCJ-GWF 2:07-cv-00894-RCJ-GWF
15	3685 SAN FERNANDO LENDERS, LLC, et al.,	
16	Plaintiffs,	
17	_	
18	V.	
19	COMPASS USA SPE, LLC, et al.,	
20	Defendant.	
21	TDIAL MEMOD ANDUM OF DEFEN	ATD A RUEG COMBA CG DA DUNEDG TY C
22	COMPASS USA SPE, LLC, BORI	NDANTS COMPASS PARTNERS, LLC, IS PISKUN, AND DAVID BLATT IN
23	CONNECTION WITH RULE 55(b)(2	2) DAMAGES ADJUDICATION
24	Defendants COMPASS PARTNERS, LLC,	COMPASS USA SPE, LLC, BORIS
25 26	PISKUN and DAVID BLATT offer the following t	trial memorandum for the Court's
27	consideration.	
28		E.A.

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Granting Request for Entry of Default of Defendants Compass USA SPE, LLC, Compass Partners, LLC, Boris Piskun, and David Blatt, and Denying Boris Piskun's Motion to Vacate Entry of Default (#1652). At a hearing conducted on April 8, 2010, the Court announced that it was denying the motion.2 The Court's January 25, 2010 order granting the request for entry of default (#1632) stated that "Boris Piskun may present evidence in support of a defense of excusable neglect on a

The Court entered a default against the Compass entities, Mr. Piskun, and Mr. Blatt on

motion for reconsideration and/or at trial, including but not limited to any evidence of an extension of time to file an answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint." Further, during the underlying January 5, 2010 hearing the Court stated that Mr. Piskun would be allowed to ask questions of witnesses during the damages prove up hearing, hopefully through counsel.

However, having said that, Mr. Piskun, where you do come and oppose a default, courts -- all courts commonly acknowledge your right to appear on the prove up to ask questions if appropriate. And therefore, I'm assuming that the prove up will be probably at the same time as we have the trial here. I will permit you to appear hopefully by counsel, but if not by counsel by yourself then even to ask questions or to enter a position relative to prove up damages.

(Ex. 1, attached, Transcript of 1/5/10 hearing, p. 44.) Although only Mr. Piskun appeared before the Court on January 5, 2010 to oppose entry of the default, since that time Mr. Blatt and Mr.

The body of the motion stated that only Mr. Piskun and Mr. Blatt were the moving parties. (#1652, p. 1.)

Although titled as a motion to reconsider, the motion (#1652) was actually a motion by Mr. Piskun and Mr. Blatt to set aside the default. At the time Mr. Piskun appeared before the Court on January 5, 2010 to argue against entry of the default, the default had not yet been entered. Thus, his oral motion and letters to the Court actually constituted an opposition to Plaintiffs' motion requesting entry of the default, and Mr. Rawlins' February 19, 2010 motion was the initial motion to set aside by Mr. Piskun and Mr. Blatt. The resulting minute order (#1674) stated that a "Written ruling of the Court will issue," but to the best of the undersigned's knowledge no such order has been entered.

The Compass entities have not yet filed a motion to set aside the default, but intend to do so as soon as possible.

Piskun have moved to set it aside (through a motion mis-named as a motion to reconsider [#1652]), and the Compass entities are in the process of preparing a motion to set aside the default as well. Thus, each will have challenged entry of the default, and each ought to be afforded the ability to participate in the prove-up hearing. It is on this basis that the Compass entities, Mr. Piskun, and Mr. Blatt file this Trial Memorandum.

## IN THE EVENT THE JURY RETURNS A DEFENSE VERDICT IN FAVOR OF THE SILAR ENTITIES WITH RESPECT TO PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS, A DEFAULT JUDGMENT MAY NOT BE ENTERED AGAINST THE PREVIOUSLY-DEFAULTED DEFENDANTS BASED UPON THOSE CLAIMS

This case presents a situation in which the Plaintiffs have sued the Compass entities,

Boris Puskin, and David Blatt as well as the Silar entities and Asset Resolution for the essentially
the same alleged conduct. *Compare* Second Amended Complaint, with Third Amended

Complaint.<sup>4</sup> As set forth above, the Compass entities, Mr. Piskun, and Mr. Blatt have been
defaulted by the Court.

Despite the entry of the default against the Compass entities, Mr. Piskun, and Mr. Blatt, case law clearly holds that under these circumstances the Court should not enter a default *judgment* against the moving Defendants if the Silar entities are found not liable for the same underlying conduct which has been alleged against the defaulted Defendants. In the leading case of *Frow v. De La Vega*, 82 U.S. 552 (1872), the Supreme Court explained that where a complaint alleges that multiple defendants are jointly and severally liable and one of the defendants defaults, judgment should not be entered against the defaulting defendants until the matter has been adjudicated against the remaining answering defendants. "If the court in such a case as this can lawfully make a final decree against one defendant separately, on the merits, while the cause

The Compass entities, Boris Piskun and David Blatt were defaulted for failing to respond to the Second Amended Complaint. The operative pleading as to the Silar entities and Asset Resolution is the Third Amended Complaint.

was proceeding undetermined against the others, then this absurdity might follow: there might be one decree of the court sustaining the charge of joint fraud committed by the defendants; and another decree disaffirming the said charge, and declaring it to be entirely unfounded, and dismissing the complainant's bill. And such an incongruity, it seems, did actually occur in this case. Such a state of things is unseemly and absurd, as well as unauthorized by law." 82 U.S. at 554 (emphasis supplied.) The case of *Gulf Coast Fans, Inc. v. Midwest Electronics Importers, Inc.*, 740 F.2d 1499 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984), extended the *Frow* rule to apply to defendants who are similarly situated, even if not jointly and severally liable.

The Ninth Circuit, in the case of *In re First T.D. & Inv., Inc.*, 253 F.3d 520, (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001), further extended the application of *Frow*:

The leading case on the subject of default judgments in actions involving multiple defendants is *Frow v. De La Vega*, 82 U.S. 552 (1872). The Court held in *Frow* that, where a complaint alleges that defendants are jointly liable and one of them defaults, judgment should not be entered against the defaulting defendant until the matter has been adjudicated with regard to all defendants. *Id.* at 554. It follows that if an action against the answering defendants is decided in their favor, then the action should be dismissed against both answering and defaulting defendants."

253 F.3d at 532 (emphasis supplied.) The Ninth Circuit held that a default judgment should not be entered where other defendants were engaged in similar transactions such that it is not logically possible that one defendant could be liable without another being liable. *Id.* See also *Lewis v. Duff*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 63892 at \*16-17 (D. Nev., July 28, 2008) ("If an action against the answering defendant is decided in [the answering defendants") favor, then the action should be dismissed against both answering and defaulting defendants").

In the recent case of *Johnson v. Cate*, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 61293 (E.D. Cal., July 17, 2009), the court explained, "[D]efault judgment should not be entered against a defendant who is alleged to be liable jointly with other defendants until the case is adjudicated against all defendants, or all defendants have defaulted; the possibility of inconsistent judgments must be avoided." *Id.* at \*5-6. The court continued, "It has been held that despite the absence of an allegation of joint liability, entry of default judgment against a single defaulting defendant is

## Case 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ -GWF Document 1748 Filed 05/12/10 Page 5 of 12

improper where defendants are similarly situated defendants, even if not jointly and severally liable, and where delay is necessary to avoid an inherently inconsistent result." Id. at \*6.

The situation in this case is similar to that in In re First T.D. & Inv. in that, under Plaintiffs' theory, the Defendants in this case were "engaged in similar transactions such that it is not logically possible that one defendant could be liable without another being liable." The Court should not entertain the legal fiction that the Compass entities, Mr. Piskun, and Mr. Blatt actually committed in fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, constructive fraud, and conspiracy if the jury finds as a matter of fact that the Silar entities and Asset Resolution did not engage in that very same conduct in the same or similar transactions.

DATED this /2 day of May, 2010.

Daniel T. Hayward, Esq. #5986 LAXALT & NOMURA, LTD.

LAXALT & NOMURA, LTD.

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521

dhayward@laxalt-nomura.com

Telephone: (775) 322-1170 Facsimile: (775) 322-1865 Attorneys for Defendants COMPASS PARTNERS, LLC,

COMPASS USA SPE, LLC, BORIS PISKUN, AND DAVID BLATT

By:

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** Pursuant to FRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of LAXALT & NOMURA, LTD., and that on this 12 day of May, 2010, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served: \_VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE addressed as follows: \*\*\* SEE ATTACHED LIST \*\*\* 

1	Joshua S. Akbar  jakbar@sonnenchein.com	
2	Mark Albright	
3	gma@albrightstoddard.com, danderson@albrightstoddard.com	
4	Lindsy Nicole Alleman	
5	lalleman@fulbright.com	
6	Anthony W. Austin  aaustin@lrlaw.com	
7		
8	F. Christopher Austin <u>austin@gtlaw.com</u> , <u>nevc@gtlaw.com</u> , <u>kimmk@gtlaw.com</u> , <u>haros@gtlaw.com</u> , <u>lvlitdock@gtlaw.com</u>	
9		
10	Luis A. Ayon	
11	ayon@gtlaw.com, barberc@gtlaw.com, lvlitdock@gtlaw.com	
12	Georganne Bradley  georganne.bradley@bullivant.com, mary.opatrny@bullivant.com	
13	William A Brewer, III	
14	wab@bickelbrewer.com	
15	Andrew M. Brumby	
16	abrumby@shutts-law.com	
17	Louis Martin Bubala, III <u>lbubala@jonesvargas.com</u> , <u>bcopeland@jonesvargas.com</u> , <u>tbw@jonesvargas.com</u>	
18	Donna Cangelosi	
19	mail@asmithlaw.com	
20	Candace C. Carlyon	
21	ccarlyon@sheacarylon.com, ltreadway@sheacarlyon.com, manthony@sheacarlyon.com rmsmith@sheacarlyon.com, prdriscoll@sheacarlyon.com	
22	Rob Charles	
23	RCharles@LRLAW.com, cjordan@lrlaw.com	
24	Janet L. Chubb	
25	tbw@jonesvargas.com	
26	Terry A. Coffing tcoffing@marquisaurbach.com, smong@marquisaurbach.com	
27		
28	Michael J Collins  mjc@bickelbrewer.com	

1	Wade B. Gochnour  WBG@h2law.com, kdp@h2law.com	
2		
3	Gerald M. Gordon <u>usdcnotices@gordonsilver.com</u>	
4	Talitha B. Gray	
5	usdcnotices@gordonsilver.com	
6	James D. Greene	
7	jgreene@greeneinfusolaw.com, nkinel@DSLLP.com, cjorvig@greeneinfusolaw.com, ztakos@greeninfusolaw.com, fritchie@greeneinfusolaw.com	
8	Peter W. Guyon	
9	pguyon@yahoo.com	
10	Kenneth N. Hickox	
11	KZH@bickelbrewer.com	
12	Brigid M. Higgins <u>usdcnotices@gordonsilver.com</u>	
13	Randolph L. Howard	
14	rhoward@klnevada.com, ckishi@klnevada.com, usdistrict@klnevada.com	
15	C. Stanley Hunterton	
16	shunterton@huntertonlaw.com, janallen@huntertonlaw.com	
17	Philip M. Hymanson	
18	hymansonp@gtlaw.com, andrewst@gtlaw.com, maierj@gtlaw.com, kimmk@gtlaw.com, haros@gtlaw.com, gutierrezjo@gtlaw.com, mandallk@gtlaw.com, lvlitdock@gtlaw.com	
19	Annette W. Jarvis	
20	ajarvis@rqn.com	
21	Erin E. Jones	
22	ejones@diamondmccarthy.com	
23	Spencer M. Judd	
24	Spencer@jsmjlaw.com	
25	Lindsay S. Katz lkatz@tpw.com	
26	Dean T. Kirby  dkirby@kirbymac.com, jrigg@kirbymac.com, gsparks@kirbymac.com	
27		
28	Mitchell J. Langberg <u>mlangberg@bhfs.com</u> , <u>orodriguez@bhfs.com</u>	

1	Cynthia J. Larsen <u>clarsen@orrick.com</u> , <u>wpeters@orrick.com</u>	
2	Kent F. Larsen	
3	kfl@slwlaw.com, cld@slwlaw.com	
4	lylitdock@atlaw.com_loraditcha@atlaw.com_koim@atlaw.com	
5		
6	emadden@diamondmccarthy.com, kbarlett@diamondmccarthy.com,	
7		
8	Jason Maier <u>maierj@gtlaw.com</u> , <u>kimmk@gtlaw.com</u> , <u>harrow@gtlaw.com</u> , <u>bedellk@gtlaw.com</u> , <u>lvlitdock@gtlaw.com</u>	
9		
10	Francis B. Majorie	
11	fbmajorie@themajoriefirm.com, vrunning@themajoriefirm.com, pperry@themajoriefirm.com	
12	Pamela McKee	
13	tbw@jonesvargas.com	
14	Richard McKnight	
15	rmcknight@lawlasvegas.com, gkopang@lawlasvegas.com, mmcalonis@lawlasvegas.com dmincin@lawlasvegas.com, cburke@lawlasvegas.com	
16	Jeanette E. McPherson	
17	usdefilings@s-mlaw.com	
18	Shawn W. Miller	
19	smiller@sheacarlyon.com, ltreadway@sheacarlyon.com, rmsmith@sheacarlyon.com, aboehmer@sheacarlyon.com	
20	Robert M. Millimet	
21	RRM@bickelbrewer.com	
22	Peter D. Navarro	
23	pnavarro@klnevada.com, usdistrict@klnevada.com, kgregos@klnevada.com	
24	Nikoll Nikci Nik@schwartzlawyers.com, ecf@schwartzlawyers.com, sam@schwartzlawyers.com	
25		
26	Henry H. Oh <a href="mailto:henry.oh@dlapiper.com">henry.oh@dlapiper.com</a>	
27	Bobby L. Olson	
28	olsonb@gtlaw.com, koisp@gtlaw.com, lvlitdock@gtlaw.com, jenkinsm@gtlaw.com	

1	Christine Pajak cpajak@stutman.com	
2	Andrew M. Parlen	
3	aparlen@stutman.com	
4 5	Stanley W. Parry  parrys@ballardspahr.com, bundickj@ballardspahr.com, sedillom@ballardspahr.com,	
6	waltons@ballardspahr.com, gradyc@ballardspahr.com	
7	Jon T. Pearson  pearsonj@ballardspahr.com, gradyc@ballardspahr.com, darnellk@ballardspahr.com	
8	William Pletcher	
9	wpletcher@milbank.com	
10	Norlynn B. Price	
11	nprice@fulbright.com, cchiasson@fulbright.com	
12	Donald Prunty <u>pruntyd@gtlaw.com</u>	
13	Lisa A. Rasmussen	
14	lisa@lrasmussenlaw.com, Andrew@lrasmussenlaw.com, Secretary@lrasmussenlaw.com,	
15	Alex@lrasmussenlaw.com	
16	Michael D. Rawlins  mrawlins@rookerlaw.com, bpereira@rookerlaw.com	
17	Samuel A. Schwartz	
18	sam@schwarzlawyers.com, ecf@schwartzlawyers.com	
19	Leonard E. Schwartzer	
20	usdcfilings@s-mlaw.com	
21	James P. Shea	
22	ishea@sheacarlyon.com, ltreadway@sheacarlyon.com, swinder@sheacarlyon.com, manthony@sheacarlyon.com, ggianoulakis@sheacarlyon.com, cmuniz@sheacarlyon.com,	
23	rmsmith@sheacarlyon.com	
24	Shlomo S. Sherman	
25	ssherman@sheacarlyon.com, ltreadway@sheacarlyon.com, swinder@sheacarlyon.com, manthony@sheacarlyon.com, rmsmith@sheacarlyon.com	
26	Alan R. Smith	
27	Mail@asmithlaw.com, turk@asmithlaw.com, marsh@asmithlaw.com	
28	Stephanie O. Sparks sos@hogefenton.com	

	<u> - </u>	
1	Elizabeth E. Stephens stephens@shlaw.com, calderone@sullivanhill.com, mcallister@sullivanhill.com, dabbiere@sullivanhill.com, vidovich@sullivanhill.com, stein@sullivanhill.com,	
2	hill@sullivanhill.com, rez@sullivanhill.com, frederick@sullivanhill.com	
3	Steven C. Strong sstrong@rqn.com	
5		
6	Eric W. Swanis  swanise@gtlaw.com, barberc@gtlaw.com, lvlitdock@gtlaw.com, sheffieldm@gtlaw.com	
7	Jeffrey R. Sylvester	
8	jeff@sylvesterpolednak.com, jsylvester@sylvesterpolednak.com	
9	Liane K. Wakayama  lwakayama@marquisaurbach.com, kchandler@marquisaurbach.com	
10	Gabriel M. Weaver	
11	gweaver@milbank.com	
12	Gregory L. Wilde	
13	feddc@wildelaw.com	
14	Katherine M. Windler <u>katherine.windler@bryancave.com</u>	
15	Michael Yoder	
16	myoder@diamondmccarthy.com, cburrow@diamondmccarthy.com	
17	Matthew C. Zirzow	
18	usdcnotices@gordonsilver.com	
19	Janinon . P	
20	An Employee of Laxalt & Nomura, Ltd.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		